

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/646,111	11/20/2000	Christopher Marriott	REF/MARIOTT/ 3979		
7:	590 04/16/2003		•		
Bacon & Thomas			EXAMINER		
Fourth Floor 625 Slaters Lane Alexandria, VA 22314-1176			TRAN, SUSAN T		
,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1615	12	
			DATE MAILED: 04/16/2003	( )	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No		Applicant(s)	_		
Office Action Summary		09/646,111		MARRIOTT ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
	-	Susan Tran		1615			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		er sheet with the co		_		
Period fo	• •						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, how within the statutory mi vill apply and will expire cause the application	vever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONED	ely filed  will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 F	ebruary 2003 .					
2a)□		s action is non-	inal.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	on of Claims	_					
•	Claim(s) <u>25-41</u> is/are pending in the application		ration				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-41</u> is/are rejected. )⊡ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election require	ement.				
	on Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b)□ objec	ted to by the Exar	niner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be he	eld in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ approv	ed b)⊡ disappro	ved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office a	ction.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the control of t	reau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	-			
14) 🗌 A	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
	) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language pro- Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic						
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) . 6)	Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt is acknowledged of applicant's Request for Extension of Time and Notice of Appeal filed 12/12/02, Request for Extension of Time, Request for Continued Examination, and Preliminary Amendment filed 02/26/03.

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02/26/03 has been entered.

#### Response to Amendment

In the Amendment filed on 02/26/03, applicant requested to cancel claims 1-5, 7-10, 12, 13, 19, and added claims 25-41. However, in the remarks, page 5 stated "applicants have canceled all the claims from the application without prejudice or disclaimer and new claims 25-41 have been added". Applicant has not mentioned claims, which previously been withdrawn or objected, including, claims 6, 11, 14-17, and 20-24. Further clarification is suggested. It appears that newly added claims include the subject matters of claims s, which previously been withdrawn or objected, including,

Application/Control Number: 09/646,111

Art Unit: 1615

claims. For the purpose of searching and examining, the claims have not been further treated on merits until further clarification is submitted.

### Claim Objections

Claim 39 is objected to because of the following informalities:

It appears that the word "ration" in line 3 should read "ratio". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 25-27, 29-31, 33-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirao et al. US 4,725,387, in view of Trofast et al. WO 95/05805.

Hirao teaches a process of shaping crystals of sugar alcohols by obtaining a saccharified starch solution with high maltose content, allowing crystallization, and separating the crystallized solid (column 2, lines 38 through column 3, lines 1-11). The viscosity of the solution can be regulated by the addition of water-soluble organic solvent, or elevated temperature (column 4, lines 45-68; and example 1). Hirao does not teach the viscosity of less than 25 Pa.s at a shear rate of 1s<sup>-1</sup>. However, no criticality is seen in the particular viscosity since Hirao obtains the same result desired

Application/Control Number: 09/646,111

Art Unit: 1615

by the applicant, e.g., a crystalline composition that is non-hygroscopic, free flowing, and can be in any desire size and shape (column 7, lines 33-53). Accordingly, it is the position of the examiner that it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to, by routine experimentation determine a suitable viscosity of the solution to obtain the claimed invention.

Hirao does not teach the solid crystals can be used for inhalation. However, Hirao in column 5, lines 20-58 teaches besides anhydrous crystals of maltitol, *other sugar alcohols such as sorbitol, maltotriitol and maltotetraitol* can be used for various uses, e.g., for foods, cosmetics, and *drugs*. Hirao further teaches that maltitol *or* crystalline mixture solid can be prepared into desirable shape, including granule with a granulizer (column 7, lines 66 through column 8, lines 1-4).

Trofast teaches a stable crystallinic form of fine-grained substance or substance mixture useful for inhalation (page 4, lines 23-30). The substance includes salbutamol sulfate, ipratropium bromide, or salmeterol xinafoate (page 7, lines 26 through page 8, lines 1-3). The substance may be combined with carriers suitable for inhalation, such as lactose, maltose, maltitol, starch, and its hydrates (page 6, lines 21-31). Thus, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to optimize the solid crystalline mixture of Hirao as a carrier useful for inhalation of pharmaceutical formulation in view of the teachings of Trofast, because the references teach the advantageous result in the use of carrier, such as maltitol and lactose monohydrate as a carrier for inhalation formulation.

Application/Control Number: 09/646,111

Art Unit: 1615

Claims 28 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirao et al. in view of Trofast et al., and Douglas et al. US 5,635,200.

Hirao and Trofast are relied upon for the reasons stated above. The references are silent as to the teaching of carbomer as a starch or binder in an aqueous solution. However, carbomer is a well known starch or thickener, or binder in pharmaceutical art. Douglas teaches an oral administration composition comprising starch or carbopol as an aqueous solution thickener (column 7, lines 22-32). Hence, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Hirao's starch solution using carbopol taught by Douglas. The unexpected result is free flowing crystal having desire size and shape.

Claims 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirao et al., in view of Staniforth WO 96/23485.

Hirao is relied upon for the reasons stated above. Hirao does not teach the size range of the crystallized solid.

Staniforth teaches carrier particles useful in dry powder inhalers comprising one or more crystalline sugars including lactose, having particles diameter between from 60 µm to 180 µm (page 10, lines 2-17). Thus, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in this art to modify Hirao crystalline solid carrier to have the particle size suitable for inhalation in view of the teachings of Staniforth, because the references teach the advantageous result in the use of crystalline sugars in pharmaceutical art. The unexpected result is a crystalline composition that is non-hygroscopic, free flowing, and can be in any desire size and shape.

### R sponse to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 02/26/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Hirao is clearly related to the solving of a very specific problem associated with maltitol in foodstuffs, i.e., a different technical field to the current invention, and therefore, a person skilled in the art would not consider maltitol for use in an inhalation product. Applicant further support his assertion by showing abstract from the Handbook of Pharmaceutical Excipients, noted that maltitol is only known in the pharmaceutical art as bulk sweetener for oral dosage forms. Contrary to the applicant's argument, Trofast in page 6, lines 21-31 discloses useful carrier for inhalation dosage form, including, carbohydrates like lactose, maltitol, and its hydrates. Hirao in column 5, lines 20-58 teaches besides anhydrous crystals of maltitol, other sugar alcohols such as sorbitol, maltotriitol and maltotetraitol can be used for various uses, e.g., for foods, cosmetics, and drugs. Hirao further teaches that maltitol or crystalline mixture solid can be prepared into desirable shape, including granule with a granulizer (column 7, lines 66 through column 8, lines 1-4). Accordingly, a person skilled in the art would consider maltitol for use in an inhalation product, and would optimize the teachings of Hirao in view of the teachings of Trofast to obtain the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/646,111 Page 7

Art Unit: 1615

# Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Tran whose telephone number is (703) 306-5816. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page, can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3592.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

THURMAN K PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATERY EXAMINER
TO CHIOLOGY CENTER 1600